## Interview Summary

Application No.

10/628,252

LARSON

Examiner

Katherine W Mitchell

3677

	Katherine W Mitchell	3677	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Katherine W Mitchell</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>David Voorheis</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>07 June 2004</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)☐ applicant's representative	e)	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: 8 and 16-19.			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>no</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g	g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N	1/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		if an agreement	was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	copy of the amendments that v	reed would rend vould render the	er the claims claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse s	e last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THI OF THE SUBSTANCE OF TH	been filed, APP S INTERVIEW S	LICANT IS SUMMARY
			•

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Application No. 10/628,252

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner called to confirm that claim 8 was only amended to change building to structure and was otherwise the same as claim in 6616381, which applicant filed a terminal disclaimer over., Claims 16-19 need changes to ensure that there are not two step "a"s in any claim, which examiner fixed with exam amendment. Claim 19 was clarified to depend on claim 18 instead of claim 8 to avoid problems with antecedent basis. A one month extension of time was approved to charge to deposit account..